
1 8. (Amended) A process as claimed in claim 1, wherein the yield of
2 raffinate increases between 3 vol. % and 15 vol. % with the addition of the co-solvent
3 compared to a furfural extraction process without the addition of the co-solvent.

1 9. (Amended) A process as claimed in claim 1, wherein the yield of
2 raffinate increases between 5 vol. % and 10 vol. % with the addition of the co-solvent
3 compared to a furfural extraction process without the addition of the co-solvent.

A2 1 10. (Amended) A process as claimed in claim 1, wherein the solvent
2 dosage is between 30 vol. % and 250 vol. % of the feedstock.

1 11. (Amended) A process as claimed in claim 1, wherein the solvent
2 dosage is between 50 vol. % and 180 vol. % of the feedstock.

1 12. (Amended) A process as claimed in claim 1, wherein the solvent
2 dosage is between 80 vol. % and 150 vol. % of the feedstock.

REMARKS

Claims 1-13 are rejected. Claims 1 and 8-12 have been amended herein.
Claims 1-13 are pending.

OBJECTIONS

Claims 8-12 were objected to for the expressions of volume percentage.
Claims 8-12 have been amended in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion.
Withdrawal of this objection is respectfully requested.